

Mike Galizio

From: Yeary, Asia <Yeary.Asia@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2016 4:34 PM
To: Mike Galizio; OahuMPO
Subject: Re: ORTP comments

Last one:

Somewhere in this document you describe an ideal transportation system according to an organization in Canada. I'm curious why and if you can find an organization (maybe FHWA) that has a better definition and replace this definition?

Thanks for letting us comment!

Asia

From: Yeary, Asia
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2016 4:31 PM
To: Mike Galizio; OahuMPO@OahuMPO.org
Subject: ORTP comments

1. The vision statement in this ORTP reinforces the previous vision for Oahu that its communities should be served by a future transportation system in the year 2040 that is efficient, well-maintained, safe, secure, and convenient while offering appropriate and economical choices.

Can you please include “multi-modal” and “complete streets, safe for all users” in your vision statement on the executive summary of your document and throughout the document, wherever this vision statement is referenced?

2. On page 9, under “why is the ORTP important?” is lists efficient land-use. I'd like to suggest the actual goal is to integrate land-use and transportation, not just “efficient” land-use. Can this be changed throughout the document? Also in this section it discusses the aging population – It seems that you could end this section by discussing the need for a multi-modal system that is safe for all users – including the elderly – that includes transit, carshare, walking, biking, etc. for people who do not drive or cannot drive to be able to get around easily.

Is page 9 a good place to list some goals of the new system? In the paragraph below figure 1-1, you discuss the unsustainable system and how we need to rethink and offer more transportation choices. Perhaps you could follow this up and say something about the importance of offering more transportation choices that prioritize key elements such as: Safety, Multi-modal transportation, connectivity, complete streets, Energy and fuel use, Integrating Land use, People movement, not Level of Service (LOS) and Climate adaptation. This list keeps coming up in every meeting about sustainable transportation I attend, in one way or another, and it seems fitting and general enough to include this list of key elements in your introduction. If you would like any specifics about any of this, please let me know.

1. On page 10 of your timeline, it does not mention a process for updating the plan as we move forward. Is there a process and timeline? If so, please include. If not, perhaps there should be?

2. On page 15 you begin to list challenges and opportunities. You outline some very important challenges and opportunities, but you are missing a few. I go back to my list from before:
Safety, Multi-modal transportation, connectivity, complete streets, Energy and fuel use, Integrating Land use, People movement, not Level of Service (LOS) and Climate adaptation. You include some of these but not all. Perhaps you need a more comprehensive list on page 9 and in this section. You already include Safety, Energy Conservation, Climate Change and Complete Streets, but can you please add a short section for “multi-modal transportation, connectivity”, “People movement (not LOS)”, and instead of “Land Development plans” can you change this to “Integrating land use and transportation” and expand the discussion?

3. On page 23 you discuss HCEI and this section is outdated. Hawaii has a 100% RPS by 2045, it is no longer 70%. Also on this page, you discuss groups/forums. Perhaps you can mention the “Sustainable Transportation Forum” that meets quarterly since Ford came into office. As far as I can tell, this Forum is what HDOT and DBEDT are using as a working group to, hopefully, further their sustainable transportation initiatives.

1. On page 25 in your goals chart, number 6 is the only goal that discusses funding. To be consistent, can you please delete “with established sources of funding in a fair and equitable manner” otherwise, you’re limiting #6’s potential/ no others are limited:
Land Use and Transportation Integration - Develop, operate, maintain, and improve Oahu's transportation system in a manner that integrates effective land use and transportation with established sources of funding in a fair and equitable manner

Is there a way to add: People movement to this chart?

1. Page 33 TABLE 3. I submitted a lot of TIP comments. These comments also apply to this section. Please ADD my TIP comments to TABLE 3. Thank you!!!

2. Page 33: Please include information about bike sharing as a TDM strategy in ORTP 2040 (and in Table 3?)

1. Please identify Complete Streets policy implementation and complete streets infrastructure implementation as part of the Regional Goals and Objectives in ORTP 2040.

Additional comment, not sure where this goes:
In this document, how else can we encourage:

moving away from car throughput toward people movement

Mode share goals and data collection
Fossil fuel reduction in transportation goal and data collection
Performance measures to prioritize projects based on these goals

Better federal, state, county coordination
Elevate priorities of health (not just safety)
Educate public on changes in the transportation system